## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) TAKAHATA ET AL.	
10/806,439		
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jessee Roe	1793	

	Jessee Roe	1793			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 26 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following re application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(F)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date than been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period to under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office there may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on 26 June 2008. A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or ar Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be</li> </ol>	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for		
appeal; and/or	assessmenting a surbay of finally rais	ated alaims			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1.		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		- I pilatit / ilitaritati (i			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the		
7. \( \subseteq \text{ for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \begin{align*} \text{ for purposes of appeal, the proposed in the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: \( \text{ Claim(s) allowed to: } \text{ Claim(s) objected to: } \text{ Claim(s) rejected: } \( \frac{1}{1-2} \text{ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: }  \text{ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: }  \end{align*}		be entered and an ex	planation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
13. Other:					

/John P. Sheehan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Applicant's amendment filed 26 June 2008 would change the scope of claim 4 and has therefore not been entered.